UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/941,229	08/28/2001	Patrick J. MeLampy	050115-1050	5275
	7590 08/23/2007 YDEN, HORSTEMEYER	& RISLEY LLP	EXAM	IINER
100 GALLERIA	A PARKWAY, NW	W 1110221, 221	SHERKAT	, AREZOO
STE 1750 ATLANTA, GA	A 30339-5948		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			EXAMINER SHERKAT, AREZOO	
				,
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·		mo	J
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/941,229	MELAMPY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Arezoo Sherkat	2131	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 M	av 2007.		
·_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E		•	e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 45-62,67 and 70-73 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 45-62,67 and 70-73 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers		•	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the $\mathfrak l$	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • •	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies flot receive	u.	
Attachment(s)	,, (**)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	

Reopening of Prosecution - New Ground of Rejection After Appeal Brief

In view of the Appeal Brief filed on 5/21/2007, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

GILBERTO BARRON CONTROL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 67 recites the limitation "the sequence" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/941,229

Art Unit: 2131

Claim 67 recites the limitation "the port address" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 67 recites the limitation "the corresponding number" in line 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 67, 70-73 would be allowable if the applicant overcomes the outstanding112 rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 45-49, 52-56, and 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Normile et al., (U.S. Patent No. 5,541,995 and Normile hereinafter).

Regarding claims 45 and 52, Normile discloses a method of encrypting multimedia data flow packets, comprising the steps of:

receiving a series of multi-media data flow packets, each packet comprising a sequence number (i.e., the encoder of the transmitter receives the paintext packet), storing the series of multi-media data flow packets in a jitter buffer, re-sequencing the

series of multi-media data flow packets into [a pseudo-random order](col. 4, lines 29-67 and col. 5, lines 1-4); and transmitting each multi-media data flow packet in the re-

Page 3

sequenced series in the re-sequenced order (col. 5, lines 5-8).

Regarding claim 59, Normile discloses a system for encrypting multi-media data flow packets, comprising:

a transceiver (i.e., element 10), software stored within said first endpoint defining functions to be performed by the system (i.e., the encoding function generator 216 – see figure 2), and a processor configured by said software to perform the steps of: receiving a series of multi-media data flow packets (i.e., the encoder of the transmitter receives the paintext packet), storing the series of multi-media data flow packets in a jitter buffer, re-sequencing the series of multi-media data flow packets into [a pseudorandom order](col. 4, lines 29-67 and col. 5, lines 1-4); and transmitting each multi-media data flow packet in the re-sequenced series in the re-sequenced order (col. 5, lines 5-8).

Regarding claims 46 and 53, Normile discloses the method of claim 45, wherein said re-sequencing uses a randomization code that is algorithmically predictable if a key to said randomization code is known (col. 5, lines 12-19).

Regarding claims 47 and 54, Normile discloses the method of claim 45, further comprising the step of performing bit manipulation within said first multi-media data flow

Art Unit: 2131

packet (i.e., the encoder preferably comprises a conventional exclusive-or gate)(col. 4, 55-67 and col. 5, lines 1-4).

Regarding claims 48 and 55, Normile discloses the method of claim 47, wherein said step of performing bit manipulation is performed by using a bit-size operation that is restorable (col. 5, lines 12-19).

Regarding claims 49 and 56, Normile discloses the method of claim 48, wherein said bit-size operation comprises negation (i.e., the encoder preferably comprises a conventional exclusive-or gate)(col. 5, lines 12-19).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 50-51, 57-58, and 61-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Normile et al., (U.S. Patent No. 5,541,995 and Normile hereinafter), Fink et al., (U.S. Patent No. 6,826,684 and Fink hereinafter).

Regarding claims 50-51, 57-58, and 61-62, Normile does not explicitly disclose the step of pseudo-randomly shuffling a destination address of each of the multi-media data flow packets.

However, Fink discloses the step of pseudo-randomly shuffling (i.e., encrypting using a encryption key) a destination address of each of the multi-media data flow packets (i.e., the ASD technique seemlessly layers with data security technologies such as IPSEC and Secure Socket Layer (SSL) because it only affects addressing and sequencing information for translation/restoration, allowing it to be used to enhance existing network security systems)(col. 7, lines 1-15 and col. 9, lines 13-65).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify teachings of Normile with teachings of Fink because it would allow to include the step of pseudo-randomly shuffling a destination address of each of the multi-media data flow packets as disclosed by Fink. This modification would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by the suggestion of Fink to XOR the unchanging block of each packet with a field that does change per packet to achieve more pseudo-random cryptographic effect (Fink, col. 9, lines 55-65).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arezoo Sherkat whose telephone number is (571) 272-3796. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30 Monday-Friday.

Art Unit: 2131

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

A.S. Patent Examiner Group 2131 August 20, 2007

Arezo Sherhet

GILBERTO BARRON TR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100